

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,750	05/15/2006	Kris Vandermeulen	31118/DY0205	9103
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			LEE, SEUNG H	
6300 SEARS T CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Anniination No.	Annihant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/540,750	VANDERMEULEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication annual	SEUNG H. LEE	2887				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	<u>ne 2009</u> .					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-57</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28,29,31,36,38 and 44-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,32-35,37,39-43 and 52-57</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) israte objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for loteigh priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)-(d) of (f).						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/05, 7/07, 9/08.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/540,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 2887

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on June 08,
 acknowledged.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 53 and 57 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 53 and 57 are depended on a withdrawn claim 51. The Examiner will consider that claim 53 and 57 depends on claim 52 until clarified by the applicant.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 30, 37, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cremon et al. (US 6802659)(Hereinafter referred to as 'Cremon').

Re claim 30: Cremon teaches a roll of material (8) used for printing serving as an image receiving tape, a RFID tag (7) holding a parameter information identifying at least one template for printing a label on the tape by transferring configurable operating parameters, and the RFID tag transmit information contactlessly via electromagnetic waves (see figs. 1-13; Abstract; col. 4, line 30-col. 11, line 46).

Re claim 37, 57: The RFID tag includes thermal transfer information (col. 8, lines 7-23) for setting thermal printer properly and monitoring the remaining number of rolls in a roll (col. 10, lines 39-52).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 32-35, 39-43, 52-55, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cremon.

Although, Cremon teaches the roll of material having the RFID tag to transmit the parameter information and the status of roll (e.g., remaining number of rolls), he fairly suggest that the roll comprising preformed/marks and the tag transmit the message to display on the printer.

However, it would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Cremon to set the printer

properly with parameters information stored in the RFID tag according to the type of the rolls such as a preformed/marks. Moreover, such modification (i.e., displaying message in the printer such as status of roll, contact information for replacement, etc) would provide a user friendly system wherein the operator can replace the roll for minimizing down time.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SEUNG H. LEE whose telephone number is (571)272-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 AM- 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven S. Paik can be reached on (571) 272-2404. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,750

Page 5

Art Unit: 2887

/Seung H Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887